

 Stratham Planning Board
Meeting Minutes
February 13, 2013
Municipal Center, Selectmen's Meeting Room
10 Bunker Hill Avenue
Time: 7:00 PM

13 Members Present:

Members Present: J

Members Absent:

Staff Present:

Mike Houghton, Chairman, Planning Board

Jeff Hyland, Secretary, Planning Board Jameson Paine, Member, Planning Board

Christopher Merrick, Alternate, Planning Board

Donna Jensen, Chairperson, Conservation Commission Pat Elwell, Vice Chair, Conservation Commission

Allison Knab, Secretary

Tim Copeland, Selectmen's Representative, Conservation Commission

Dan McAuliffe, Member, Conservation Commission Bill Grace, Alternate, Conservation Commission Bob Keating, Member, Conservation Commission Brad Jones, Conservation Commission, Alternate

Bob Baskerville, Vice Chairman Planning Board

Bruno Federico, Selectmen's Representative Planning Board

Tom House, Alternate Planning Board

Lincoln Daley, Town Planner

Mary Jane Werner, Alternate Planning Board

## 1. Call to Order/Roll Call.

The Chairman took roll call. He explained that the purpose of the meeting was for both the Planning Board and Conservation Commission to jointly discuss and provide recommendations to the Board of Selectmen pertaining to the potential use of a portion of the Town owned property located at 10 Bunker Hill Avenue to construct a private commercial septic system.

Mr. Robert Ducharme, one of the principal owners of Stratham Plaza introduced himself. He explained that during the last 10 years they have tried to improve the appearance of the Plaza to help bring in more business for the property owners, but there are still businesses moving out as they are not making enough money. After much discussion one of the ideas

the owners considered was getting rid of the leach field in the back of the plaza and putting a concrete pad site there. This would enable a business such as Panera's or a bank to be located there which in turn would bring more business to the Plaza itself.

Mr. Eric Weinrieb, Doucette Engineering, introduced himself next. He referred to the proposed easement plan and said there are 4 different receiving areas and one septic system. They are proposing a 52,190 square feet easement area that would include the physical leach field and nitrate set backs. They will bring the grading up so there is a uniform slope and a ball field can be constructed there in the future. Ms. Werner asked about vents. Mr. Weinrieb said today vents can be run a further distance so they could be tied in to the outfield fence.

Ms. Elwell asked what the purpose of the existing retention pond around the existing leach field was. Mr. Weinrieb said that typically goes back to the eighties when they built large retention ponds to balance the run off.

 Mr. Hyland asked about the setbacks for the leach fields. Mr. Weinrieb said they are set far back due to nitrate setback requirements because if you push the fields apart, the nitrates don't influence each other. Mr. Hyland asked where the pump chamber would be located. Mr. Weinrieb said the mechanics of the systems are located on the plaza. Mr. Paine asked if there are any maintenance concerns and what the expected life span of this system would be. Mr. Weinrieb said it varies depending on maintenance but he would expect it to last at least 20 years. Mr. Ducharme said they had agreed to be responsible for the maintenance of the system.

Ms. Elwell asked about the capability of the system. Mr. Weinrieb said the current system is designed for 3805 gallons per day; the expansion increases that to 5355 gallons per day. Mr. Brad Jones was concerned about the visibility aspect should another building be put up. Mr. Ducharme said it wouldn't be as long as the pad site. Mr. Copeland asked for confirmation that Mr. Ducharme and his Association would be bearing the costs for the removal of the 4 tanks, the backfill and putting the field back together. Mr. Copeland asked also if they were confident that the septic would be large enough for a restaurant. Mr. Weinrieb said it would be and Mr. Ducharme added that they would act within the parameters of the Town's ordinances.

Mr. Bob Keating asked about the soil quality; he wondered if it might turn soggy eventually and asked that if the Town wanted to use that area, would the soil need to be replaced. Mr. Weinrieb said that if a leach field is operating properly it will continue to allow the soil to go down into the ground.

 Mr. Grace checked that soil and drainage information was available. Mr. Ducharme said that they had to do test pits and get State permits in order for the site to be approved. They have the test pits data and are close to the stage of getting State permits. Mr. Grace asked about the stormwater management. Mr. Weinrieb said they would use rain gardens and catch basins and showed their location on the plan.

Mr. Houghton asked if there would be a maintenance bond associated with the project. Mr. Ducharme said he didn't know about a bond, but it is open for discussion. Mr. Weinrieb said it wasn't referred to in the easement document, but it is clear the responsibility for maintenance lies with them. Mr. Deschaine said that the Town has the ultimate authority to shut them down if they don't perform. He asked Mr. Weinrieb to share his expertise of installing leach fields under ball fields. Ms. Elwell asked how the field would stand up to kids running around playing baseball on the field. Mr. Weinrieb said it would be built appropriately to avoid any damage.

Mr. Daley asked Mr. Weinrieb to talk them through the process when a field is abandoned and then asked Mr. Deschaine who pays for the costs for repairing the ball field during the transition when the cistern is removed. Mr. Deschaine replied that the Association would be responsible for that. Mr. Paine asked if they had looked at the collection of stormwater between the existing building and raised area. Mr. Weinrieb said the run off is north to south and the only place it will collect is along the edge and will naturally dissipate down to the graded area.

 A member of the public asked what the restrictions were in terms of the kind of building that could go on the pad site. Mr. Daley informed the resident that there is a Warrant Article being put forward for Town vote which will change the General Commercial District to the Gateway District which will enforce certain design restrictions.

Mr. Donahue, attorney for Makris Development, asked how Mr. Weinrieb was accessing the property to do all this work as Makris would be constructing a trail to join up with the Town Municipal Center. Mr. Weinrieb replied that 95% of the access would be from Stratham Plaza and wouldn't get in the way of construction by Makris without permission first. Mr. Wool, resident said he didn't like that the Town was letting a private enterprise use their property. He asked if the Town was going to get a payment for this easement and can anybody get an easement on Town property for a septic system. He asked if they had investigated using the location of the mound instead. Mr. Copeland said the Town will get a new ball field out of this project and will also get some of the fill for the Town parking lot expansion which will help the Town with the cost of the project. He added also that the current Board of Selectmen is pro business and doing it this way provide a mutual advantage.

Mr. Weinrieb said they had looked at using the location of the mound, but the Town has minimum design criteria for septic systems that exceed the State's design criteria and because of that leach fields have to be mounded. That mound elevation is driven by the seasonal high water table and so the grade will have to be higher which will, in turn affect the height of the parking lot.

Ms. Hunter, resident, asked if this will go to Town for vote and wondered why she and other residents hadn't heard about this septic system. Mr. Canada and Mr. Deschaine explained the process and those residents would be informed about this project as part of that process. Mr. Merrick asked that if the septic system was built to State standards, could it be located under the parking lot. Mr. Weinrieb said he would have to check the

1 standards, but he thinks it would still involve mounding. Mr. Merrick asked what needs to 2 be done to allow for that to happen. Mr. Deschaine said it would involve a zoning 3 amendment. Mr. Jones asked how much money this deal would save the Town. Mr. 4 Canada said about \$100,000 but he couldn't give an exact figure and Mr. Deschaine if you 5 allowed for the fill being provided, another \$60,000 could potentially be added to that. Ms. 6 Knab asked if this deal would be setting a precedent. Mr. Canada said they are willing to 7 work out deals if it is for the benefit of the Town. Mr. Hyland asked if an evaluation had 8 been carried out to ascertain that Stratham actually needed a ball field. The Board of 9 Selectmen said an evaluation had been done. The Board was generally favorable of the 10 proposal, but would like more construction details to be available for the ball field. majority of the Conservation Commission favored the project also. 11 Somebody expressed 12 concern that there is no specified date or timeframe in the easement language about 13 hooking up should water and sewer become publicly available. Mr. Deschaine said the 14 language in the easement states they have 6 months to hook up.

1516

## 2. Review/Approval of Meeting Minutes.

- 17 a. January 16, 2013
- 18 b. January 30, 2013
- Mr. Daley said he would forward the minutes via email for the Board to review before the next meeting on March  $6^{th}$ , 2013.

## 21 **3. Public Hearing(s).**

- a. Makris Real Estate Development, LLC., 11 Wentworth Terrace, Dover for the property located at 32 Bunker Hill Avenue, Tax Map 9 Lot 49, Laurence and Debra Foss, 30 Bunker Hill Avenue, Tax Map 9 Lot 50, and Town of Stratham, 28 Bunker Hill Avenue, Tax Map 9 Lot 51. Request for an extension to the approved Lot Line Adjustment plan for the properties granted on October 17, 2012.
- Mr. Donahue, attorney for Makris Development explained this is in relation to the appeal that was filed relating to conveyances of land to the Town, abutters and Mr. and Mrs. Foss. They are still waiting for N.H. D.O.T. to come back with their feedback on their latest submission which is the main reason for requesting an extension.

31 32

33

22

23

24

25

26

Mr. Paine made a motion to close the public hearing. Motion seconded by Mr. Hyland. Motion carried unanimously.

34 35

Mr. Hyland made a motion to grant the extension for the approved lot line adjustment. Mr. Paine seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

36 37

- 38 4. **Public Meeting(s).**
- 39 None Scheduled.
- 40 **5. Miscellaneous.**
- a. Report of Officers/Committees.

b. Member Comments.
c. Other.
There were no miscellaneous items to report.
6. Adjournment.
Mr. Hyland made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:05 pm. Mr. Paine seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.